

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05045 01 OF 02 171241Z

46

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 EB-07 /070 W

----- 003507

R 170945Z SEP 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3581

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 5045

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MILI, MPOL, PFOR, NATO

SUBJ: SECRETARY SCHLESINGER'S TRIP TO EUROPE - SUGGESTED POINTS

TO RAISE ON STANDARDIZATION

REF: A. USNATO 4556 281026Z AUG 75

B. AMEMB LONDON 14035 111520Z SEP 75

C. USNATO 4755 281815Z AUG 75

D. USNATO 4819 051025Z SEP 75

E. STATE 176498 252330Z JUL 75

F. USNATO 4944 111715Z SEP 75

G. USNATO 4927 111545Z SEP 75

H. USNATO 4223 061155Z AUG 75

J. USNATO 4065 011630Z AUG 75

SUMMARY: WE BELIEVE SECRETARY SCHLESINGER'S PLANNED TRIP TO EUROPE THIS MONTH OFFERS AN EXCELLENT OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS AT HIGH LEVELS WITH KEY ALLIES THE US INITIATIVE TO IMPROVE STANDARDIZATION TO NATO. BY THIS MESSAGE, WE PROVIDE QUESTIONS ON WHICH WASHINGTON MAY WISH TO DRAW IN PREPARING POINTS FOR THE SECRETARY TO RAISE WITH ALLIES ON STANDARDIZATION. FOR EACH QUESTION, WE ALSO PROVIDE A BRIEF COMMENT AS BACK-CONFIDENTIAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 05045 01 OF 02 171241Z

GROUND BASED ON OUR DISCUSSIONS WITH ALLIES WHICH WASHINGTON MAY WISH TO USE IN HIGHLIGHTING ALLIED PERCEPTIONS OF THE ISSUES. IN OUR VIEW, KEY ISSUES WILL INCLUDE THE EUROGROUP

INITIATIVE; FRENCH COMMITMENT TO STANDARDIZATION PROGRAMS;  
AND FOLLOW-ON MACHINERY RELATING TO BOTH NAC AND DPC EFFORTS  
TO SUSTAIN STANDARDIZATION OVER LONG TERM. IN ADDITION,  
ALLIES MAY QUESTION EXTENT TO WHICH US IS WILLING  
TO MAKE CONCESSIONS IN TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER AND PURCHASES  
OF EUROPEAN EQUIPMENT IN THE NAME OF STANDARDIZATION.  
END SUMMARY.

1. UK AND FRG. AS REPORTED REF A, AMB PECK (UK) EXPRESSED  
SUPPORT FOR NAC DISCUSSIONS ON STANDARDIZATION AND FOR  
ESTABLISHMENT OF STEERING GROUP WHICH WOULD INCLUDE ALL ALLIES.  
REF B TENDS TO CONFIRM UK SUPPORT IN GENERAL, ALTHOUGH VIEWS  
SEEM TO VARY ON SPECIFIC ASPECTS. AS WE REPORTED REF C, WE ALSO  
EXPECT SUPPORT FROM FRG, WHOSE DEFENSE MINISTER HAS A STRONG  
PERSONAL INTEREST IN GAINING INCREASED DEFENSE CAPABILITY  
THROUGH STANDARDIZATION. WASHINGTON MAY WISH TO DRAW ON THE FOLLOWING  
IN PREPARING POINTS FOR SECRETARY SCHLESINGER TO RAISE IN DIS-  
CUSSIONS WITH BRITISH AND GERMAN ALLIES:

A. EUROGROUP INITIATIVE.

QUESTION: WILL EUROGROUP MEMBERS THEMSELVES AGREE  
TO STANDARDIZE ON EUROPEAN EQUIPMENT WHICH THEY RECOMMEND FOR  
PURCHASE BY THE US IN THEIR FORTHCOMING "TWO-WAY STREET  
SHOPPING LIST"?

COMMENT: IN OUR VIEW, SEVERAL EUROGROUP ALLIES SEE  
THE "SHOPPING LIST" AS A NEAR-TERM MEANS FOR CAPITALIZING ON  
US GOOD WILL ARISING FROM F-16 PURCHASE AND EUROPEAN INTEREST  
IN AWACS AS WELL AS TEXT OF US SINCERITY TOWARD ESTABLISHING  
WHAT EUROPEANS CONSIDER TO BE A MORE EQUITABLE TRANSATLANTIC  
FLOW OF ARMAMENTS SALES. SOME ALLIES ALSO VIEW "SHOPPING LIST"  
IN A LONGER-TERM PERSPECTIVE AS A BASIS FOR PRELIMINARY EFFORTS  
AMONG EUROGROUP NATIONS TO PROMOTE BOTH STANDARDIZATION AMONG  
THEMSELVES AND RATIONALIZATION/RESTRUCTURING OF EUROPEAN DEFENSE  
INDUSTRIES. UK APPEARS TO BE OUT IN FRONT IN PROMOTING NEAR-  
TERM SALES WHICH WOULD BOLSTER UK DEFENSE INDUSTRIES (REF D, PARA 7).

QUESTION: WILL EUROGROUP ALSO DEVELOP A "SHOPPING  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 05045 01 OF 02 171241Z

LIST" OF US EQUIPMENT FOR EUROPEAN PURCHASE AND NATO-WIDE  
STANDARDIZATION?

COMMENT: WE KNOW EUROGROUP IS THINKING ABOUT SUCH A  
LIST (REF D, PARA 1), BUT WE DO NOT KNOW WHETHER IDEA HAS  
SUBSTANTIAL EUROGROUP SUPPORT OR WHEN THEY WOULD PRESENT LIST.

QUESTION: DOES UK OR FRG FORESEE ANY SUBSTANTIAL  
CONFLICTS BETWEEN PROCEDURES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE US  
INITIATIVE ON STANDARDIZATION AND THOSE OF EUROGROUP?

COMMENT: DURING FORTHCOMING NAC DISCUSSIONS, WE HOPE TO AVOID ADVERSARY SITUATIONS WHEREIN EUROGROUP MEMBERS FEEL COMPELLED TO CAUCUS AND DEVELOP ORGANIZED OPPOSITION TO NON-EUROGROUP INITIATIVES.

QUESTION: HOW DO US PRELIMINARY VIEWS ON PRINCIPLES FOR COOPERATION COMPARE WITH THOSE OF THE EUROGROUP?

COMMENT: WE UNDERSTAND EUROGROUP'S INITIAL DRAFT WAS PREPARED BY THE FRG. OUR FRG CONTACTS HERE INDICATE US VIEWS ARE VERY CLOSE TO THOSE OF FRG, BUT THAT OTHER MEMBERS OF EUROGROUP (INCLUDING UK) MAY PREFER TO SEE PRINCIPLES WATERED DOWN.

QUESTION: HOW CAN WE BEST ENDURE THAT PRINCIPLES TO BE DEVELOPED BY NAC STEERING COMMITTEE ARE CONSISTENT WITH THOSE OF THE EUROGROUP?

COMMENT: IF WE WAIT FOR EUROGROUP TO COMPLETE WORK AND THEN SEEK BROADER AGREEMENT ON PRINCIPLES, THE US GOAL OF GAINING MINISTERIAL ENDORSEMENT IN DECEMBER MAY BE IN JEOPARDY AND EUROGROUP PRINCIPLES MAY NOT FULLY SUPPORT US OBJECTIVES IN STANDARDIZATION.

QUESTION: WHAT ARE PROSPECTS OF EUROGROUP SHIFTING VENUE OF STANDARDIZATION WORK TO A NATO ARRANGEMENT IN WHICH FRANCE COULD PARTICIPATE, AS WELL AS US AND CANADA?

COMMENT: WE HAVE HEARD THAT EUROGROUP MIGHT CONSIDER ACCOMMODATING FRANCE BY SOMEHOW CHANGING VENUE OF ITS STANDARDIZATION ACTIVITY. AS A MINIMUM, THIS WOULD PROBABLY CALL FOR LONG TERM FRENCH COMMITMENT AND NOT MANY CONCESSIONS BY EUROGROUP TO FRANCE. WE DOUBT THAT EUROGROUP WOULD ACCEPT FOLDING ITS INITIATIVE INTO A NATO ARRANGEMENT SOLELY TO ACCOMMODATE NORTH AMERICA, BECAUSE SEVERAL NATIONS WANT EUROPE TO SPEAK WITH ONE VOICE IN STANDARDIZATION.

PAGE 04 NATO 05045 01 OF 02 171241Z

ZATION ACTIVITY. AS A MINIMUM, THIS WOULD PROBABLY CALL FOR LONG TERM FRENCH COMMITMENT AND NOT MANY CONCESSIONS BY EUROGROUP TO FRANCE. WE DOUBT THAT EUROGROUP WOULD ACCEPT FOLDING ITS INITIATIVE INTO A NATO ARRANGEMENT SOLELY TO ACCOMMODATE NORTH AMERICA, BECAUSE SEVERAL NATIONS WANT EUROPE TO SPEAK WITH ONE VOICE IN STANDARDIZATION.

#### B. FRENCH PARTICIPATION:

QUESTION: BEYOND FRENCH PARTICIPATION IN INITIAL NAC DISCUSSIONS, HOW SHOULD WE PROCEED TO SECURE LONG TERM FRENCH COOPERATION WITH ALLIANCE STANDARDIZATION WORK? WHAT CONCESSIONS CAN WE EXPECT FRANCE TO DEMAND?

COMMENT: WE BELIEVE FRG'S RELATIONS WITH FRANCE ARE MORE PRAGMATIC THAN THOSE OF THE UK. SECRETARY SCHLESINGER MAY BE ABLE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE IN PREPARATION FOR HIS VISIT TO PARIS.

QUESTION: DOES UK OR FRG HAVE ANY PROPOSALS FOR TRANS-  
LATING FRENCH PARTICIPATION IN NAC DISCUSSIONS INTO PRACTICAL  
CO-OPERATION WITH EUROPE IN RATIONALIZING/RESTRUCTURING  
DEFENSE INDUSTRIES?

COMMENT: WE SEE LITTLE PROSPECT OF FRANCE JOINING  
EUROGROUP IN NEAR FUTURE AND THEREFORE BELIEVE ALTERNATIVE ARRANGE-  
MENTS ARE NEEDED. IN THIS CONNECTION, SEVERAL ALLIES (BELGIUM,  
ITALY, AND ESPECIALLY FRANCE) HAVE EMPHASIZED NEED FOR A EUROPEAN  
ENTITY, NOT ONLY TO RATIONALIZE DEFENSE INDUSTRIES AND DEVELOP  
EUROPEAN SOLUTIONS TO COOPERATION IN ARMAMENTS, BUT ALSO TO DEAL  
ON AN EQUAL BASIS WITH THE US ON TRANSATLANTIC ASPECTS SUCH AS  
THE TWO WAY STREET.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NATO 05045 02 OF 02 171619Z

46

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 EB-07 /070 W

----- 006157

R 170945Z SEP 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3582

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 5045

C. US STANDARDIZATION INITIATIVE:

QUESTION: DO UK AND FRG AGREE WITH US PROPOSAL TO  
PLACE LONG TERM EFFORTS FOR ADVANCING NATO STANDARDIZATION  
WITHIN 15- NATION NAC CONTEXT AND THEREFORE APPARENTLY UNDER  
THE PURVIEW OF FOREIGN MINISTERS?

COMMENT: WE UNDERSTNAD THIS MAY BE A WIDELY CONTENTIOUS  
ISSUE,PARTICULARLY WITH SOME IN THE UK, WHO SEE STANDARDIZATION  
AS PRIMARILY A DEFENSE MATTER.

QUESTION: WHAT LONG TERM NATO INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENT  
OR MACHINERY WOULD BE BEST SUITED TO IMPLEMENT PRINCIPLES FOR  
GREATER COOPERATION IN ARMAMENTS RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, PRODUCTION,  
AND PROCUREMENT? IN THIS CONNECTION, WHAT SHOULD BE THE ROLE OF

THE CNAD?

COMMENT: SEVERAL ALTERNATIVES EXIST, SUCH AS RESTRU-  
TURNING THE CNAD, FORMING A NEW NATO AGENCY, OR SEEKING A SERIES  
OF BILATERAL/MULTILATERAL COOPERATIVE ARRANGEMENTS ON A PROJECT-  
BY-PROJECT BASIS. WE FAVOR AS PRONOUNCED A NATO CONTEXT AS POS-  
SIBLE, BUT BELIEVE ALLIED VIEWS SHOULD BE CAREFULLY SOLICITED AND  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 05045 02 OF 02 171619Z

CONSIDERED.

D. COMPLEMENTARY ACTIVITIES IN DPC.

QUESTION: DOES FRG AGREE WITH U.S. PROPOSAL TO EXPAND  
AND STRENGTHEN THE EXISTING DPC SPRING REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR MONI-  
TORING COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS (RATIONALIZATION, NEAR-TERM STANDARDI-  
ZATION, FLEXIBILITY, INTEROPERABILITY, ETC.) AND NATO SUPPORTING  
PROGRAMS (INFRASTRUCTURE, COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.)?

COMMENT: AS DESCRIBED REF H, WE BELIEVE THAT BOTH NAC  
AND DPC SHOULD DEVELOP PARALLEL, COMPLEMENTARY ACTIVITIES IN SUP-  
PORT OF NATO'S "COOPERATIVE TASKS". TO THIS END, WE PROVIDED THE  
EWG ON JULY 31, 1975 (REF J) WITH PRELIMINARY THOUGHTS ON WAYS TO  
EXPAND THE EXISTING DPC SPRING REVIEW TO ENCOURAGE IMPLEMENTATION  
OF IMPROVED MILITARY COOPERATION (RATIONALIZATION) AMONG ALLIES ON  
A REGIONAL BASIS. UK SUPPORTS THIS EFFORT (REF B); BUT FRG HAS  
NOT YET PROVIDED A RESPONSE.

QUESTION: DO UK AND FRG HAVE ANY SUGGESTIONS FOR HARMON-  
IZING NAC ACTIVITIES IN SUPPORT OF STANDARDIZATION WITH THOSE OF  
THE EXPANDED DPC INTEGRATED DEFENSE PLANNING SYSTEM?

COMMENT: WE BELIEVE NAC SHOULD EMPHASIZE, AT HIGHEST  
LEVELS, DESIRABILITY AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR COMPREHENSIVE, LONG-  
TERM STANDARDIZATION OF ARMAMENTS TO INCLUDE COOPERATIVE RE-  
SEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, EVALUATION AND  
PRODUCTION PROGRAMS AND THAT PROPOSED STEERING GROUP SHOULD  
DEVELOP EARLY STATEMENT OF POLITICAL, ECONOMIC, AND MILITARY

PRINCIPLES TO GUIDE STANDARDIZATION EFFORTS. WE BELIEVE DPC AC-  
TIVITIES SHOULD PLACE STANDARDIZATION AND INTEROPERABILITY EFFORTS  
IN PERSPECTIVE WITH OTHER PROGRAMS FOR IMPROVED ALLIED COOPERATION;  
PROVIDE ENCOURAGEMENT AND RECEPTIVE MECHANISM FOR MORE ACTIVE FOR-  
MULATION OF COOPERATIVE INITIATIVES BY NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES;  
IDENTIFY SHORT LEAD-TIME AREAS FOR IMPROVED COOPERATION; AND PRO-

VIDE MECHANISMS/PROCEDURES SUCH AS PROPOSED SPRING REVIEW FOR  
ENCOURAGING NATIONAL IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS. HARMONIZING THESE  
NAC AND DPC ACTIVITIES, WITHOUT CREATING UNACCEPTABLE APPEARANCE  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 05045 02 OF 02 171619Z

OF FRENCH PARTICIPATION IN INTEGRATED DEFENSE PLANNING, WILL REQUIRE DELICATE RELATIONS BETWEEN NAC AND DPC.

2. PARIS. SECRETARY SCHLESINGER MAY FIND DIVISION OF OPINION IN PARIS CONCERNING FRANCE'S WILLINGNESS TO PARTICIPATE IN NAC DISCUSSIONS

THAT MAY WELL BEGIN HERE BEFORE THE SECRETARY VISITS EUROPE REPRESENTS A BIG STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION (REF F). THE SUCCESS OF FOLLOW-ON WORK, HOWEVER, WILL DEPEND IN LARGE PART OF FRANCE'S ABILITY TO MAKE SOME HARD DECISIONS IN BOTH THE POLITICAL AND DEFENSE AREAS. AS REPORTED REF G, AMB. DE STAERCKE (BELGIUM) BELIEVES THAT THE FRENCH FOREIGN OFFICE (AND PERHAPS GISCARD HIMSELF) LOOK ON GREATER COOPERATION MORE FAVORABLY THAN PRIME MINISTER CHIRAC AND DEFENSE OFFICIALS. WASHINGTON MAY WISH TO DRAW ON THE FOLLOWING IN PREPARING FOR DISCUSSIONS IN PARIS:

QUESTION: DOES FRANCE HAVE ANY EARLY VIEWS IT WOULD LIKE TO ADVANCE ON THE QUESTION OF THE ROLE OF THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES IN HARMONIZING MILITARY DOCTRINE AND

WEAPONS REQUIREMENTS?

COMMENT: AGREEMENT ON DOCTRINE AND REQUIREMENTS WILL BE A KEY TO PROGRESS IN COOPERATIVE STANDARDIZATION EFFORTS. FRANCE ALREADY PARTICIPATES IN CNAD, WHICH IF RE-ENERGIZED AND PERHAPS RESTRUCTURED MIGHT BE ABLE TO INCREASE ITS ROLE IN THESE AREAS.

QUESTION: WHAT TYPE OF ARRANGEMENT DOES FRANCE WANT TO

SEE DEVELOP TO SATISFY ITS DESIRE TO PARTICIPATE IN "EUROPEAN ENTITY" FOR DEALINGS BOTH AMONG EUROPEAN ALLIES AND WITH US IN PROMOTING STANDARDIZATION?

COMMENT: AMB DE ROSE (FRANCE) EMPHASIZED "NECESSITY" FOR SUCH AN ARRANGEMENT (REF F) BUT LEFT OPEN THE QUESTION OF HOW IT MIGHT EVOLVE. SECRETARY SCHLESINGER MAY WISH TO CONSIDER ENCOURAGING FRANCE TO JOIN IN TWO-WAY STREET WORK CURRENTLY GOING ON IN EUROGROUP ASSUMING OTHER ALLIES WOULD AGREE TO REMOVE THAT WORK FROM FORMAL EUROGROUP AUSPICES AND COULD DEVISE A MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE WAY TO DO SO.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 05045 02 OF 02 171619Z

3. AFTER SEPT 17 INITIAL NAC MEETING ON SUBJECT, AND BEFORE SECRETARY SCHLESINGER TALKS WITH ALLIES, WE EXPECT TO HAVE THE BENEFIT OF FURTHER ALLIED REACTIONS TO SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE US INITIATIVE. THESE REACTIONS MAY INFLUENCE POINTS HE MAY WISH TO RAISE, ESPECIALLY IN THE CASE OF FRANCE, AND WE WILL TAKE

THESE REACTIONS INTO ACCOUNT IN RECOMMENDING ADDITIONAL POINTS  
TO RAISE OF APPROPRIATE.

4. IN MORE GENERAL TERMS, WE EXPECT ALLIES MAY ASK SECRETARY  
SCHLESINGER ABOUT CONCESSIONS US IS WILLING TO MAKE TO BACK  
UP OUR STANDARDIZATION INITIATIVE. ALLIES WILL BE INTERESTED  
IN GAINING GREATER ACCESS TO ADVANCED US TECHNOLOGY WHICH,  
TOGETHER WITH PROSPECTS FOR AN ATLANTIC MARKET, REPRESENT OUR  
BEST LEVERAGE FOR GETTING EUROPEANS TO COOPERATE ON A NATO-  
WIDE BASIS RATHER THAN IN THE MORE NARROW CONTEXT OF THE  
EUROGROUP.BRUCE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 17 SEP 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO05045  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197509102/abbrzlzp.tel  
**Line Count:** 338  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRETARY  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 7  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRETARY  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** A. USNATO 4556 281026Z AUG 75 B. AMEMB LONDON 14035 111520Z SEP 75 C. USNATO 4755 281815Z AUG 75 D. USNATO 4819 051025Z SEP 75 E. STATE 176498 252330Z JUL 75 F. USNATO 4944 111715Z SEP 75  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 30 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <30 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <01 MAY 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** SECRETARY SCHLESINGER'S TRIP TO EUROPE - SUGGESTED POINTS TO RAISE ON STANDARDIZATION  
**TAGS:** MILI, MPOL, PFOR, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
SECDEF INFO BONN  
LONDON  
PARIS  
**Type:** TE



**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006